



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,032	06/26/2001	Naoyuki Ogino	81784.0239	3402

26021 7590 01/25/2005  
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.  
500 S. GRAND AVENUE  
SUITE 1900  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611

EXAMINER

INOA, MIDYS

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2188

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/893,032

Applicant(s)

OGINO, NAOYUKI

Examiner

Midys Inoa

Art Unit

2188

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2, 5-8 and 10-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 2 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 5-8 and 10-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 5-8, 10-14, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al. (5,818,801) in view of Lee (6,292,440).

Regarding Claims 5, 7, 10, and 17, Watanabe et al. a signal processing circuit for processing a signal reproduced from a CD in which a CD-ROM data or CD-DA data (audio data) 12 is written comprising: a memory (buffer RAM 17); a CD-ROM decoder 20 for writing, when the CD from which the signal is to be reproduced is a CD-ROM, incoming CD-Rom data into said memory 17, and decoding said CD-ROM data while reading out the CD-ROM data from said memory (see Figure 6); an anti-shock controller 20 for causing, when the CD from which the signal is to be reproduced is a CD-DA, a predetermined amount of incoming CD-DA audio data to be stored in said memory, and reading and outputting the audio data from said memory, so that continuous output can be achieved even when the incoming audio data is interrupted (Column 7, lines 28-49); a first arbiter (digital signal processor 15) for generating an output signal for controlling said memory according to a request signal from said CD-ROM decoder; a second arbiter (digital signal processor 15) for generating an output signal for controlling said memory according to a request signal from said anti-shock controller; and a selection circuit (control microcomputer 18) for selecting an output signal from said first or second arbiter;

Art Unit: 2188

wherein an output signal from said first arbiter is selected by said selection circuit when the CD from which the signal is to be reproduced is a CD-ROM, and, when the CD from which the signal is to be reproduced is a CD-DA, an output signal from said second arbiter is selected by said selection circuit (Column 8, lines 6-39). In the system of Watanabe et al. the decoder 20 **has both the function of shockproof control of audio data (“anti-shock controller”) and error correction of CD-ROM data (“CD-ROM decoder”)**; the system does not perform the shockproof operation and the error correction operation simultaneously, thus allowing the shockproof function and the error correction function to **share the buffer RAM 17 (“memory”) in their operation**. Additionally, the **digital signal processor 15 acts as both a first and second arbiter since it generates sub-code identifying the type of CD being used (CD-ROM or CD-DA)**, it sends the sub-code to **the control microcomputer 18** which uses the sub-code to send a control signal to the CD-ROM decoder 20, thus **deciding whether this component will take on the function of “CD-ROM decoder” or “anti-shock controller”**. When the digital signal processor sends sub-code for a CD-ROM, it is acting as a first arbiter; when the digital signal processor sends sub-code for a CD-DA, it is acting as a second arbiter.

Watanabe et al. does not teach an MP3 decoder for decoding data encoded in MP3 format and output from the CD-ROM decoder in MP3 format. Lee teaches an MP3 car player which has the ability to read both CDs and MP3 CD-ROMs using a file type detector 100, which determines what is the file format of the audio file. If the file format is determined to be MP3, the file goes through an MP3 file input unit and an MP3 decoder. Once it has been decoded through the MP3 decoder the file is passed through the A/D converter (“CD-ROM decoder”) allowing the data to be outputted through a speaker (See Figure 1, Abstract, and column 1, lines

Art Unit: 2188

48-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the MP3 decoder to the system of Watanabe et al. in order to give this audio system the ability to read MP3 audio files and thus, making it a more complete system for an user to enjoy. It is understood that in integrating an MP3 decoder to the invention, the MP3-decoder would operate similar to the operation of the CD decoder and thus, it would be supplied with data from the buffer memory 17. Additionally, the arbiter of the invention would generate a signal representative of MP3 data.

Regarding Claim 6, Watanabe discloses a control microcomputer ("selection circuit"), which controls and enables the functions of the CD-ROM decoder and the shockproof controller (see figure 6 and column 8, lines 6-38). It would have been obvious to allow the control microcomputer of Watanabe to control the function of the MP3 decoder in order to keep the system orderly by controlling of all system functions from one main controller.

Regarding Claims 8 and 14, Watanabe et al. teaches the signal processing circuit of claim 7, further comprising an access control circuit (control microcomputer 18) for outputting to said memory, based on the selected signal, at least an address signal for said memory, a write enable signal, and a read enable signal (see Figure 6). In this system, **the control microcomputer 18 serves the roles of selection circuit as well as access control circuit.** Once it sends the control signal to the CD-ROM decoder identifying which functions this component will perform, it also enables the writing of data and reading of data from the buffer memory 17 (Column 8, lines 6-39). It is understood that **for the writing and reading of data to occur, write/read enable signals must be present.** Any other signals necessary for access into the memory must also be present (CAS and RAS signals).

Art Unit: 2188

Regarding Claim 11, the access control circuit (control microcomputer 18) receives as input address data the sub-code related to the CD-ROM or CD-DA which in turn is used to determine what type of signals will be sent to the buffer memory 17 and the decoder 20 (Column 8, lines 6-39).

Regarding Claim 12, one of the CD-DA data or the CD-ROM data is supplied to the system of Watanabe et al. via a data input circuit (CD Pickup 11, Figure 6).

Regarding Claim 13, the system of Watanabe et al. stores the data in the buffer memory 17 through the use of a DSP interface circuit 20c. At this time, it is understood that the data transmission includes a sub-code since this sub-code was previously transmitted from the digital signal processor 15 to the control microcomputer 18 in the same manner. Additionally, the data in the buffer RAM is subjected to error correction by the error correcting circuit 20b, at which point it is understood that some kind of error indication must exist (error flag).

Regarding Claim 16, Watanabe et al. teaches that in switching between CD-DA data and CD-ROM data, the data written before the switch is overwritten by data after the switch. The system of Watanabe et al. does not perform the shockproof operation for the CD-DA data and the error correction operation for the CD-ROM data simultaneously; it allows the shockproof function and the error correction function to share the buffer RAM 17 ("memory") in their operation (Column 8, lines 40-58). Therefore, it is understood that once one of the two functions is complete, the buffer memory dedicates itself to the completion of the other function and thus, replaces data from the first function with data from the current function.

3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al. (5,818,801) in view of Lee (6,292,440) and further in view of Microsoft Dictionary. Watanabe

Art Unit: 2188

et al in view of Lee teaches the invention as set forth by claim 8 above. Watanabe does not teach generating a refresh timing of the memory. Microsoft dictionary discloses a refresh cycle in which repeated electric pulses are provided to a dynamic RAM in order to renew the stored electric charges in the location containing a binary 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the refresh cycle of Microsoft Dictionary with the system of Watanabe et al. because, due to the simplicity and large capacity of dynamic RAMs, the buffer RAM of Watanabe et al. is most likely a dynamic RAM (page 159) and in the case of a dynamic RAM, refresh cycles are necessary in order to avoid data loss (page 379).

#### ***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/30/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that amended independent claim 7 should be allowable since it contains subject matter of canceled claim 18, which was not previously rejected. However, claim 18 was previously rejected in the final rejection dated 03/25/04.

5. Furthermore, Applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine Watanabe and Lee and that Lee only discloses a description of an MP3 decoder and so, the combination could not have been easily derived.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge

Art Unit: 2188

generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

In this case, Watanabe et al. does not teach an MP3 decoder for decoding data encoded in MP3 format and output from the CD-ROM decoder in MP3 format. Lee teaches an MP3 car player which has the ability to read both CDs and MP3 CD-ROMs using a file type detector 100, which determines what is the file format of the audio file. If the file format is determined to be MP3, the file goes through an MP3 file input unit and an MP3 decoder. Once it has been decoded through the MP3 decoder the file is passed through the A/D converter ("CD-ROM decoder") allowing the data to be outputted through a speaker (See Figure 1, Abstract, and column 1, lines 48-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the MP3 decoder to the system of Watanabe et al. in order to give this audio system the ability to read MP3 audio files and thus, making it a more complete system for an user to enjoy. It is understood that in integrating an MP3 decoder to the invention, the MP3-decoder would operate similar to the operation of the CD decoder and thus, it would be supplied with data from the buffer memory 17. Additionally, the arbiter of the invention would generate a signal representative of MP3 data.

As it can be seen from the Examiner's explanation, Lee's description of the MP3 decoder is indeed sufficient to derive the combined invention of Watanabe in view of Lee.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Midys Inoa whose telephone number is (571) 272-4207. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am - 4:30pm.



Art Unit: 2188

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-4210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*Midys Inoa*  
Midys Inoa  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2188

MI

*Mano Padmanabhan*  
1/21/08

**MANO PADMANABHAN**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**